

*NOT under her kitchen orders*

9/8/71

PH(JMS),

Reur 9/1, cc to JMS: I haven't taken time to get my 7/30 notes and Your A order 6/28 to respond to your first item but will if necessary. I have about a half hour and would like to answer this.

CD55: Agree on all you say. I now haven't time to put it all together, but I will take time when I return to writing AGENT OSMAID. I have not only always felt this way, that they had statements from LHO's Marines buddies not given the WC, but that caller to me on the old Joe Dolan Show was one they didn't even interview. I'll try and make a tickler on this for then. Meanwhile, should either of you ever get the time, it would help if you could make a list of the proofs of it, or the indications. Here there is a separate factor that will be more difficult, what they didn't report that they were told.

CD58, phone call: If you do not have the second one (thanks for what you've sent that I've not looked at yet, I do. I think it will not be hard to file, for I remember enough to be able to guess where I have it filed. My primitive filing is in terms of expected use in writing. I have no file "system" as such. It also involved Moive Davis and a rich guy from a beer family and one Courtney, who is connected with Penabaz and may be Kent's brother.

Check CD256

CD314: Will X out and note that all refs are to Bowen.

CD 637: I'll restrict my comments in the hope I'll have time now for going over some of the enclosures. I suspect we all agreed that the Michael security clearance seems unlike the security mind, given the family background. I think it more likely inside the ACLU. I have met him. He is a strange one, strange looking, acting and speaking. (So is she.)

CD7:332. SOP for the FBI to cover its lf, as they regard covering as as they think they can use. Not now in this case. I believe this refers to the fingerprint. If it does, you have all my DJ corres. I'll ask for it again in the writing of AG, but there is no possibility law-enforcement investigation unless it was known not to be LHO's, they had th at. Unless they suspected this not real one! And I agree this would be excellent for your book. I think more and more that with what you have and the way your mind works that your central focus should be on the way the FBI worked. You'll find much here if you can then take the time. As you may realize, this is always in my mind when it is not the central focus.

Change address card. If this is the second NO one, I have a copy Tankersley statement.

Arch regs. Note dates on any you get. Where they are recent, ask for all old ones. I've forced a change in some, as Johnson, smilingly, acknowledged. Those bastards knowingly withhold the central one from me, told Bob Smith it didn't exist when I asked him to get me one, and they finally figured out where I got it. My penult conversation with Johnson discloses he does fit the role in which I've cast him in this. He even prepares 'heads' of affidavits. They have refused to give me those they consider relevant, you have my last letter, no response yet. I'm making a record for the next time in court. They are required under AG memo, if not law. Again emphasize careful reading conference report when Jim sends. Wait until you have time to read it at one sitting. It suggests other approaches.

I've made an extra carbon to file with the separate one I've established on your CD orders. Thanks for reminding. I can't keep everything in mind any more. I can't take time for slips, but what I am taking time for, and it means I'm about 2,000 pages behind, is in carding each page I now get, under its number and as I file it. There are now at least 2refs., so if I know only the number I can find it. This goes back far, but not to the beginning of my work. It goes back to after the Shaw trial on everything and before that on some. A chron file would not be helpful in my work. There is too much corres that is relevant, too many interviews, too many notes for future writing, etc.